Corporation Dad Determinations Kayer - Poth Coporation A-Z

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND PINANCE

Board of Compenses - Corporation tax bureau

In the Matter of Applications and Claim for Revision of Hearing Case No. 6376 KAYSER-ROTH CORPORATION for revision or refund of franchise taxes under Article 9A of the Tax Law for the fiscal year June 30, 1960 through the fiscal year June 30, 1965.

Based on a New York field audit report, the following taxes were resudited and restated on Pebruary 15, 1967:

	6/30/60	6/30/61	6/30/62
Business Income Business allocation New York Base Tax at 546 Plus Subsidiary Cap. Tax Total	\$4,711,660.59 16.9730% 799,747.85 43,986.13 1,853.04 \$ 45,839.17	\$2,847,961.94 17.7793% 506,347.70 27,849.12 2,737.64 \$ 30,586.76	\$3,589,576.00 16,98186 609,575.00 33,527.00 1,034.00 \$ 34,561.00
	5/30/63	6/30/64	6/30/65
Business Income Business allocation New York Base Investment Income Investment allocation	\$4,984,213.00 18.8448% 784,034.00 430,877.00 07.2885%	\$4,889,283.00 14.7215% 721,248.00	\$7,342,757.00 15,2622% 1,120,666.00
Hew York Base Total allocated income Tax at 5½ Plus Subsidiary Cap.Tax Total	31,318.00 815,352.00 44,844.00 1,287.00 \$ 46,131.00	721,248.00 39,669.00 3,085.00 \$ 42,754.00	1,120,666.00 61,637.00 3,556.00 \$ 65,193.00

Timely applications were filed on July 13, 1967.

The field audit report reads, in part, as follows:

"The issue in question concerns whether the taxpayer ships its lingurie from a regular place of business or from a permanent and continuous place of business.

"Taxpayer leases a plant and warehouse in Haleyville, Alabama, which is sub-let to Haleyville Textile Mills, Inc., a subsidiary. The lingerie products are manufactured by Haleyville Taxtile Mills, Inc. and two minor subsidiaries and then stored at the subsidiary's warehouse located in Haleyville, Alabama, until shipped to customers in behalf of the taxpayer.

"Taxpayer has two employees at Haleyville, Alabama, who are also on the payroll of the subsidiary corporation in the capacity of general manager and comptroller of the subsidiary. Taxpayer pays only a part of their salaries with the greater portion being paid by the subsidiary.

"Taxpayer does not pay any maintenance expenses of the plant or warehouse, and inasmuch as it sub-lets the plant and warehouse to its subsidiary, does not have any rest expense. Taxpayer does not pay any franchise tax or property tax to the State of Alabama.

"In view of the foregoing, it is the opinion of the examiner that the premises located at Baleyville, Alabama, is a reqular place of business of the taxpayer. Taxpayer does not maintain, use or occupy these premises in carrying on its business through its regular employees regularly in attendance.

"Taxpeyer in its letter of disagreement, states "that all expenses incurred in the maintenance and operation of the warehous are paid by the taxpayer". These expenses are incurred by the subsidiary and is a reimbursement to the subsidiary by the taxpayer for services rendered in the manufacture and shipment of lingeric by the subsidiary to the taxpayer's customers."

"Taxpayer treated lingerie sales as shipments from permanent and continuous places of business for all years involved. Examiner has considered these sales as exiginating from regular places of business where exters were received or accepted through the New York office and allocated 100% of these receipts to New York in the fiscal years 6/30/60 and 6/30/61 and allocated 100% to New York those receipts which were shipped into New York and 50% to New York those receipts which were shipped outside. New York for the fiscal years ended 6/30/62 through 6/30/65 inclusive. Receipts into New York for 6/30/62 has been allocated 100% to New York by the taxpayer."

To resolve the issue and arrive at an equitable result, the Board recommends that the taxpayer and its subsidiary, Enleyville Testile Mills, Inc. be taxed on a combined basis as they meet the requirements of Section 5.28 of Ruling of State Tax Commission.

The combined taxes are as follows:

200 Companies on	with era ob varea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
	6/20/60	6/30/61	5/20/52
Combined Business Income Business allocation New York Base Tax at 54% Plus Subsidiary Cap. Tax Total Prior tax Reduction	\$4,756,335.00 12.0249% 571,945.00 31,457.00 1,853.00 33,310.00 45,839.17 \$ 12,529.17	\$2,889,732.00 12.5472% 362,580.00 19.942.00 2,738.00 22,680.00 30,586.76 \$7,906.76	\$3,621,762.00 14.3532% 519,636.00 26,591.00 1,034.00 29,625.00 34,561.00 \$4,936.00
	5/30/62	6/30/64	6/30/63
Combined Business Income Business allocation Mew York base Combined Investment Income Investment allocation Mew York base Total allocated income	\$5,027,290.00 13,1642% 661,903.00 430,877.00 07,26836 31,318.00 693,221.00	\$4,966,135.00 12,20346 606,033.00 606,037.00	\$7,404,413.00 12,63746 935,725.00

	6/30/63	6/30/64	6/29/63
Tax at 5 ¹ %	38,127.00	33,332.00	\$1,465.00
Plus subsidiary cap. tax	1,287.00	3,085.00	3,556.00
Total	39,414.00	36,417.00	55,021.00
Prior tax	46,131.00	42,754.00	65,193.00
Reduction \$	6,717.00 \$	6,339.00	\$ 10,172.00

/s/ W. F. SULLIVAN D. H. GILHOOLY J. J. GENEVICH

Approved E. A. DORAN

APPROVED: WAETER MACLYN CONLON 16 NOV. '67